

<https://thepeoplesvoice.tv/judge-rules-against-astrazeneca-for-causing-serious-bodily-harm-to-recipients/>

Judge Rules Against AstraZeneca for Causing ‘Serious Bodily Harm’ to Recipients



A court has found Big Pharma giant AstraZeneca guilty of causing ‘serious bodily harm’ to recipients of their experimental COVID-19 mRNA vaccine.

Utah mom Brianne Dressen received favor from Judge Robert Shelby concerning serious injuries she sustained from AstraZeneca’s jab.

Brianne Dressen [sued the Big Pharma giant](#) for breach of contract after it failed to compensate her for injuries caused by its COVID injection.

BYPASS THE CENSORS

Sign up to get unfiltered news delivered straight to your inbox.

You can unsubscribe any time. By subscribing you agree to our [Terms of Use](#)

Latest Video

[Naturalnews.com](#) reports: A mother of two, Dressen enrolled in AstraZeneca’s clinical trial in November 2020 during which she took one dose of the shot. According to Dressen, when she received the shot, her “life changed forever.”

“I didn’t want to do this,” Dressen told *Fox News*, claiming that AstraZeneca “left me no choice” based on the documents she signed. Since that time, it has been “three and a half years of silence” from the company, she argues.

“We gave them ample opportunities to pitch in and to help in any way, shape or form as they agreed to in the contract. And it just hasn’t happened. So, my hands are tied. I mean, I’m not going to roll over and die because of what they did. So it’s time for them to be held accountable.”

Getting around the PREP Act

Since the PREP Act, passed by Congress and signed by Donald Trump, shields Big Pharma from all liability for damage caused by its shots, AstraZeneca believed it would not be held

accountable for situations like this. Dressen and her lawyers took the breach of contract approach, though, which was effective.

AstraZeneca tried to petition the judge in the case to toss the case because of the special industry immunity provided by the PREP Act. The problem is that Dressen signed a contract with the vaccine maker guaranteeing medical treatment – that never came – for injuries suffered.

“The declaration provides immunity from liability (except for willful misconduct) for claims of loss, arising out of, relating to, or resulting from administration or use of countermeasures to diseases, threats and conditions,” the Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act (PREP Act) states.

Dressen’s approach, taking the breach of contract angle, gets around the liability shield imposed via the PREP Act. It is a model that other people who have been vaccine injured can also use to hold the vaccine industry accountable.

“The best way to calm fears about COVID vaccines is to be open and forthcoming about possible side effects,” commented Sen. Mike Lee (R-Utah) at the time when this nightmare began for Dressen.

The other good news with Dressen’s case is that it sets a precedent for how to go about pursuing justice against Big Pharma for future claims.

“This may be one of the only ways that legal teams fighting for the victims will actually be able to get ‘discovery’ documents to prove what has been hidden,” someone wrote on X / Twitter about Dressen’s approach.

“Instead of ‘calming fears,’ perhaps simply be transparent about the risks and stop pretending it’s a good solution to preventing the illness,” wrote another about how these toxic shots never should have been unleashed in the first place.

Others wrote that they would like to see this same kind of case get filed against all vaccine manufacturers for all vaccine injuries dating back decades.

“Let the avalanche of lawsuits begin,” one said about what comes next.

“It seems to me that when you have data that says your product is harmful and you bury or obfuscate that data, you concede immunity from liability,” said another about the deceptive nature of the entire vaccine industry.